UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,036	10/14/2005	Michel Pompei	033339/293148	1359
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 03/20/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			PHILLIPS, FORREST M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/537,036	POMPEI, MICHEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	FORREST M. PHILLIPS	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r election requirement.	- Vo minor			
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/01/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geissbuhler in view of Miles (US425980).

With respect to claim 1 Geissbuhler discloses an acoustic panel comprising:

First and second walls (18 and 20 in figure 2a) between which a sound absorber is disposed (36 in figure 2a)said panel comprising successively, said first wall, a backing plate (inner portion of 20 held on by the staple) carrying spacer elements (26 in figure 2a and figure 1 and 10 in figure 1) that are distributed in at least one dimension between the backing plate and the sound absorber and that create an air gap between the absorber and the backing plate.

Geissbuhler does not disclose a plate of viscoelastic material.

Miles discloses the use of a viscoelastic plate (66 in figure 6) in a sandwich type vibration absorbing arrangement.

Page 3

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Miles to use a viscoelastic plate in conjunction with spacers in a vibration absorbing apparatus with the sound absorption panel of Geissbuhler to allow for low frequency waves to be absorbed by the motion of the plate compressing the viscoelastic and the higher frequency sound to be attenuated by the sound absorbing member.

With respect to claim 2 Geissbuhler further discloses wherein the spacers form a two dimensional array (see figure 2a).

With respect to claim 5 Geissbuhler further discloses wherein the array comprises study distributed in said two dimensions (see figure 2a).

With respect to claim 7 Geissbuhler further discloses wherein the spacer elements are reinforcing elements secured to the backing plate. The studs of figure 2a are reinforcing the plate from moving in either direction.

Claims 3,and 8-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geisbuhler in view of Miles as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Paisley (US4102089).

With respect to claim 3 Geissbuhler as modified discloses the invention as claimed except wherein said array comprises a grid, a honeycomb or embossing.

Paisley discloses the use of embossing to form spacing elements (45 in figure 3).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Paisley to use embossing of a metal plate to form spacing elements with the spacers of Geisbuhler as modified in order to minimize parts and thus assembly and materials costs.

With respect to claim 8 Geisbuhler further discloses wherein said reinforcing elements are in the form of studs (see figure 2a). It would have been obvious to emboss stud like projections as supporting means as taught by Paisley.

With respect to claim 9 Paisley further discloses wherein a backing plate is embossed to form spacing elements which will necessarily stiffen the backing plate.

Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geissbuhler in view of Miles and Paisley as applied to claim3 above, and further in view of Nelson.

With respect to claim 4 Geissbuhler as modified discloses the invention as claimed except wherein the grid honeycomb or the embossing constitutes a net with the sound absorber being placed inside the net.

Nelson discloses a net with the sound absorber being placed inside the net (213 is a confinement layer seen in figures as a net).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Nelson to use a net in order to confine the sound absorber with the panel of Geissbuhler to insure the sound absorber remained in the proper position and compressed to the desired thickness.

Art Unit: 2837

With respect to claim 6 Nelson discloses the use of adhesives in securing the sound absorbing material in place (Column 7 lines 10-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to secure the sound absorbing material with a self adhesive film as self adhesive films are a known method of adhering and securing sound absorbing material is known to be necessary in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FORREST M. PHILLIPS whose telephone number is (571)272-9020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on 5712721988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,036 Page 6

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FP /Lincoln Donovan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2837